

Act and Code Review consultation questions | Ngā pātai matapakinga

This document contains all the questions we are asking as part of the Act and Code Review consultation. Aside from the required questions, you can answer as many or as few as you'd like. When completed, please either email it to review@hdc.org.nz or post it to us at PO Box 1791, Auckland, 1140.

Please visit https://review.hdc.org.nz to answer these questions online.

Your details (required)

It's important for us to know a bit about you so that we understand whose views are being represented in submissions. It helps us to make sure that any changes we recommend will work well for everyone and have an equitable impact.

1. What is your na	ıme?	
2. What is your en	nail address?	
3. Are you submit or group?	ting as an individua	I, or on behalf of an organisation
☐ I am submitting X I am submitting o	as an individual n behalf of an organis	sation or group
4 How did you he	ear about this consu	Itation? (please select)
☐ HDC website	☐ News media	☐ Social media ☐ Interned ☐ Other (please specify below)



Please answer the following questions **if you are submitting as an individual**. If you are submitting on behalf of an organisation or group, please go to page 3.

Which of these services do you engage with the most? (Please select all that apply)
What is your gender?
How old are you?
What is your ethnicity? (Please choose all that apply)



Do you ide	tify as having a disability?
you are	ubmitting on behalf of an organisation or group:
Nhat is the	name of your organisation or group?
What type	of organisation/group is it?
☐ Consume	r organisation/group (please specify below)
□ Iwi/ Māor	organisation/group (please specify below)
X Health an	I/or disability services provider (please specify below)
☐ Central C	overnment
☐ Local Go	rernment
□ Universit	/Academic
☐ Other (pl	ease specify below)
Please feel	ree to provide any further detail:



Share 'one big thing'

This survey contains structured questions that ask for your feedback on each chapter in our consultation document. If you would prefer to give us your feedback as a whole, by telling us 'one big thing' – you can do so below.

If this is all you want to provide by way of your submission, that's fine by us. We will consider all the submissions we receive.

What is your 'one big thing'?	



Topic 1: Supporting better and equitable complaint resolution

1.1: Did we cover the main issues about **supporting better and equitable complaints resolution**?

Yes – in particular cultural responsiveness and timeliness of complaint assessment & resolution.

1.2: What do you think of our suggestions for **supporting better and equitable complaints resolution**, and what impacts could they have?

Support suggests offered including:

- Amend purpose statement & cultural responsiveness: Support inclusion of person-centred and both mana enhancing and improved cultural responsiveness.
- Role of whānau: support adoption of 'autonomy'
- Whānau involvement even when not physically present & Complaints made on behalf of client – support inclusion of both
- Protect against retaliation requires inclusion, this continues to be a concern of complainants particularly if they are receiving service at the time of the complaint.
- Advocacy support strengthening this aspect sense is there are kiritaki (clients) who may want to complain but are cautious and/or do not know how to manoeuvre health systems to safely make a complaint

1.3: What other changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider for **supporting better and equitable complaints resolution**?

No comment

Topic 2: Making the Act and Code more effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori



2.1: Did we cover the main issues about making	the Act and the Code more
effective for, and responsive to, the needs of	, Māori?

Agree

- 2.2: What do you think about our suggestions for **making the Act and the Code more effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori**, and what impacts could they have?
 - Agree inclusion of mana as health services are at different stages of their journey re responsiveness to Māori, a definition of mana and/or indications of what this means
- 2.3: What other changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider for making the Act and the Code more effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori?

Topic 3: Making the Act and the Code work better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people

3.1: Did we cover the main issues about **making the Act and the Code work** better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people?



work better for tangata whaikaha disabled people, and what impact could they have?	
3.3: What other changes should we consider (legislative and non-legislative for making the Act and the Code work better for tangata whaikaha disabled people?	-
Topic 4: Considering options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions	
4.1: Did we cover the main issues about considering options for a right appeal of HDC decisions?	of
4.2: What do you think about our suggestions for considering options for right of appeal of HDC decisions , and what impacts could they have?	а

Support an appeal process including original decision maker not involved in review decision (with aim of neutrality). Use of independent review panel could be embedded into a risk-based matrix dependent on

complaint severity (etc).



4.3: What other options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions , both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider?	th
Topic 5: Minor and technical improvements	
5.1: What do you think about the issues and suggestions for minor and technical improvements, and what impacts could they have?	
5.2: What other minor and technical improvements, both legislative ar	nd
non-legislative, should we consider?	



5.3: What are your main concerns about advancing technology in relation to
the rights of people accessing health and disability services?
5.4: What changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider to
respond to advancing technology?



Publishing and data protection

This section provides important information about the release of your information. **Please read it carefully.**

You can find more information in the Privacy Policy at hdc.org.nz.

Being open about our evidence and insights is important to us. This means there are several ways that we may share the responses we receive through this consultation. These may include:

- Publishing all, part or a summary of a response (including the names of respondents and their organisations)
- Releasing information when we are required to do so by law (including under the Official Information Act 1982

Publishing permission

May we publish your submission? (Required)
\square Yes, you may publish any part of my submission
\square Yes, but please remove my name/my organisation/group's name



☐ No, you may not release my submission, unless required to do by la	2 \//
— 140, you may not release my submission, unless required to do by it	2 VV
Please note any parts of your submission you do not want publis	hed:

Reasons to withhold parts of your submission

HDC is subject to the Official Information Act 1982 (The OIA). This means that when responding to a request made under the OIA, we may be required to disclose information you have provided to us in this consultation.

Please let us know if you think there are any reasons we should not release information you have provided, including personal health information, and in particular:

- which part(s) you think should be withheld, and
- the reason(s) why you think it should be withheld.

We will use this information when preparing our responses to requests for copies of and information on responses to this document under the OIA. **Please note:** When preparing OIA responses, we will consider any reasons you have provided here. However, **this does not guarantee that your**



submission will be withheld. Valid reasons for withholding official information are specified in the Official Information Act.

$\ \square$ Yes, I would like HDC to consider withholding parts of my submission from responses to OIA requests.
I think these parts of my submission should be withheld, for these reasons:
Follow up contact
Follow up contact If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required)
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required)
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required) Yes, you can contact me
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required) Yes, you can contact me
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required) Yes, you can contact me No, do not contact me
If needed, can we contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission? (required) Yes, you can contact me No, do not contact me urther updates



Thank you

We really appreciate you taking the time to share your thoughts with us. If you have provided your details, we'll keep you updated on progress. If not, feel free to check our consultation website https://review.hdc.org.nz for updates or to contact us if you have any questions. We can be reached at review@hdc.org.nz.