

Response ID BHLF-C5F6-7WYN-9

Submitted to About the Act and Code Review
Submitted on 2024-08-30 12:37:04

Your details

1 What is your name?

Name:
Sophia Rose Byles

2 What is your email address?

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation or group?

I am submitting as an individual

4 How did you hear about this consultation?

Select from the following options:

If you selected other, please specify below:

Questions for individuals

1 Which of these services do you engage with the most?

If you selected other, please specify below:

2 What is your gender?

Not Answered

If you selected another gender, please specify below:

3 How old are you?

Select from the following options:

4 What is your ethnicity? (Please select all that apply)

If you selected other, please specify below:

5 Do you identify as having a disability?

Not Answered

Questions for organisations/groups

1 Name of your organisation or group (if applicable)

Organisation:

2 Type of organisation/group (if applicable)

Organisation - type of organisation/group/ropū :

Please feel free to provide any further detail below:

Share 'one big thing' or upload a file

5 Are you here to tell us your 'one big thing'?

Your one big thing::

Historical Claims and deceased family members rights involving EST/ECT treatments at Porirua and other places that are now failed pieces of NZ History whereby informal patients were treated bad and mistakes made and hidden yet families have carried the burden for a lifetime after the informal patient died and the truths are now everywhere on internet and must not be ignored any longer for the sake of our future generations who need to hear a good ending to NZs tragic medical experiments that failed terribly leaving many to live on in trauma. Justice needs to be finally served by Law changes such as the Limitation law and others so these families can find peace at last in this 21st Century where nothing will convince them that EST did any good historically whereby medications drugs did the same similar result too without the symptoms that families saw happen to their loved ones.

6 Upload a file

File upload:

No file uploaded

Not Answered

Topic 1: Supporting better and equitable complaint resolution

1.1 Did we cover the main issues about supporting better and equitable complaints resolution?

Please add your response below:

1.2 What do you think of our suggestions for supporting better and equitable complaint resolution, and what impacts could they have?

Please add your response below:

1.3 What other changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider for supporting better and equitable complaint resolution?

1.3 changes - supporting better and equitable complaint resolution:

Topic 2: Making the Act and the Code more effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori

2.1 Did we cover the main issues about making the Act and Code more effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori?

Please add your response below:

2.2 What do you think about our suggestions for making the Act and the Code effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori, and what impacts could they have?

Please add your response below:

2.3 What other changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider for making the Act and the Code effective for, and responsive to, the needs of Māori?

Please add your response below:

Topic 3: Making the Act and the Code work better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people

3.1 Did we cover the main issues about making the Act and the Code work better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people?

Please add your response below:

3.2 What do you think of our suggestions for making the Act and the Code work better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people, and what impacts could they have?

Please add your response below:

3.3 What other changes, legislative and non-legislative, should we consider for making the Act and the Code work better for tāngata whaikaha | disabled people?

Please add your response below:

Topic 4: Considering options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions

4.1 Have we covered the main issues about considering options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions?

Please add your response below:

4.2 What do you think about our suggestions for considering options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions, and what impact could they have?

Please add your response below:

4.3 What other options for a right of appeal of HDC decisions, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider?

Please add your response below:

Topic 5: Minor and technical improvements

5.1 What do you think about the issues and our suggestions for minor and technical improvements, and what impacts could they have?

Please add your response below:

5.2 What other minor and technical improvements, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider?

Please add your response below:

5.3 What are your main concerns about advancing technology and its impact on the rights of people accessing health and disability services?

Please add your response below:

5.4 What changes, both legislative and non-legislative, should we consider to respond to advancing technology?

Please add your response below:

Publishing and data protection

May we publish your submission?

Yes, you may publish my submission

Please note any part(s) of your submission you do not want published::

Reasons to withhold parts of your submission

Yes, I would like HDC to consider withholding parts of my submission from responses to OIA requests.:

No

I think these parts of my submission should be withheld, for these reasons: :

If needed, can we to contact you to follow up for more detail on your submission?

Yes, you can contact me

Would you like to receive updates about the review?